



Second doctoral presentation – presentation of the results of research work: *guidelines*

In accordance with the Article 54 of the *Rules on organization and implementation of the interdisciplinary doctoral study program Humanities and Social Sciences*, a student needs to present main conclusions, resources used, methods of work with their justification, and the original scientific contribution to the field of study in the second doctoral presentation to the members of *Committee for monitoring the progress of a doctoral student* and to a member of the Commission for doctoral studies or vice-dean who leads the presentation. All members of the committee need to attend the presentation. If a member of the committee is from abroad, a videoconference can be organised.

Purpose:

Assessment of the appropriateness of a proposed doctoral dissertation.

Implementation:

A doctoral candidate has up to 20 minutes for presentation of a doctoral dissertation, using various multimedia techniques.

Members of the *Committee for monitoring the progress of a doctoral student* may suggest potential improvements of the doctoral dissertation.

If time allows, the audience (other students as well as pedagogical and scientific staff of the faculty) is invited to pose questions to the candidate.

The presentation lasts up to 90 minutes. It is led by the member of the *Commission for doctoral studies* or the vice-dean. The members of the committee as well as all present doctoral candidates need to sign the attendance list.

Procedure after the presentation:

After the presentation, the members of the Committee assess whether the proposed doctoral dissertation is appropriate for further consideration. If the Committee provides the candidate with suggestions for improvements of the dissertation, it sets a deadline for corrections which may not be longer than 1 year.



The members of the *Committee for monitoring the progress of a doctoral student* are obliged to review the submitted (final) dissertation within two months after receiving the dissertation and submit written reports on the assessment of the dissertation. This deadline may be extended for a period equal to the period for correction, determined by the members of the *Committee for monitoring the progress of a doctoral student* at the second doctoral presentation but not longer than 1 year. The mentor and potential co-mentor do not assess the dissertation.

Upon the authorization of the Senate and on the basis of the submitted reports, the *Commission for Doctoral Studies* accepts the doctoral dissertation, rejects it, or returns it to the student to amend or supplement it, and sets a suitable time limit for this, not exceeding one year.

In the case of uneven opinions from the members of the *Committee for monitoring the progress of a doctoral student*, the Senate may, based on a proposal from the Commission for Doctoral Studies, appoint (an) additional member(s) to prepare (an) additional assessment(s).

When the *Commission for Doctoral Studies* approves the doctoral dissertation, the candidate submits bound copies and an electronic version of the dissertation. The defence generally takes place within one month from the date when the *Commission for Doctoral Studies* approved the dissertation.